## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 15-40126 Summary Calendar

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

**FILED** 

June 17, 2016

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

DAMASO CASTILLO-CACERES, also known as Damaso Castillo,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. 5:14-CR-235-1

\_\_\_\_

Before DAVIS, JONES, and GRAVES, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:\*

The attorney appointed to represent Damaso Castillo-Caceres has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed briefs in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Castillo-Caceres has filed a response. The record is not sufficiently developed to allow us to make a fair evaluation of Castillo-Caceres's claim of ineffective assistance of counsel; we therefore decline to consider the claim

<sup>\*</sup> Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 15-40126

without prejudice to collateral review. *See United States v. Isgar*, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014).

We have reviewed counsel's briefs and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Castillo-Caceres's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.