

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

---

No. 15-40700  
Conference Calendar

---

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

June 21, 2016

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

ARTURO RICO-ESPINOZA,

Defendant-Appellant

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Texas  
USDC No. 1:14-CR-1002-1

---

Before JONES, CLEMENT, and OWEN, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

The Federal Public Defender appointed to represent Arturo Rico-Espinoza has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Rico-Espinoza has filed a response. The record is not sufficiently developed to allow us to make a fair evaluation of Rico-Espinoza's claim of ineffective assistance of counsel; we therefore decline to consider the

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 15-40700

claim without prejudice to collateral review. *See United States v. Isgar*, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014).

We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Rico-Espinoza's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2. Rico-Espinoza's motion for appointment of counsel is DENIED.