

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

No. 15-50491
Summary Calendar

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

May 3, 2016

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

EDUARDO SALVADOR RODRIGUEZ,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Texas
USDC No. 3:14-CR-731-1

Before KING, CLEMENT, and OWEN, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Eduardo Salvador Rodriguez pleaded guilty to conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute 500 grams or more of methamphetamine, and the district court sentenced him to 250 months of imprisonment and a \$5,000 fine. Rodriguez argues that the district court erred by failing to verify at the sentencing hearing that he had read the presentence report, as required by Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32(i)(1)(A).

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 15-50491

Because Rodriguez did not object in the district court, we review his claim for plain error. *United States v. Esparza-Gonzales*, 268 F.3d 272, 274 (5th Cir. 2001). To show plain error, Rodriguez must show a forfeited error that is clear or obvious and that affects his substantial rights. *Puckett v. United States*, 556 U.S. 129, 135 (2009). As Rodriguez acknowledges, he cannot show plain error. *See Esparza-Gonzalez*, 268 F.3d at 274.

AFFIRMED.