

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

\_\_\_\_\_  
No. 16-11584  
Summary Calendar  
\_\_\_\_\_

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit  
**FILED**  
September 25, 2017  
Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

VERONICA PRENDEZ,

Defendant-Appellant

\_\_\_\_\_  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Texas  
USDC No. 4:16-CR-118-5  
\_\_\_\_\_

Before WIENER, DENNIS, and SOUTHWICK, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

The attorney appointed to represent Veronica Prendez has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Prendez was not timely in filing a response, and her motion to file an out-of-time response was denied. The motion raised no issues ripe for consideration on direct appeal. *See United States v. Isgar*, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014).

\_\_\_\_\_  
\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 16-11584

Also, her request for the appointment of new counsel was untimely. *See United States v. Wagner*, 158 F.3d 901, 902–03 (5th Cir. 1998).

We have reviewed counsel’s brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein. We concur with counsel’s assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, counsel’s motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED; counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein; and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.