

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

No. 16-40253
Summary Calendar

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

December 12, 2016

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

JOSE ESTRADA-CARRIZALES,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of Texas
USDC No. 1:15-CR-854-1

Before HIGGINBOTHAM, PRADO, and HAYNES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

The Federal Public Defender appointed to represent Jose Estrada-Carrizales has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011).¹ Estrada-Carrizales has not filed a response. We

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

¹ We conclude that the Court's decision in *Mathis v. United States*, 136 S. Ct. 2243 (2016), issued after the FPD filed its brief, does not necessitate further briefing regarding the analysis of Okla. Stat. tit. 21, § 644(D)(1).

No. 16-40253

have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, counsel's motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.