

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

No. 16-40281
Summary Calendar

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED
August 22, 2017

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

ARNOLDO MORALES,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Texas
USDC No. 4:13-CR-200-5

Before KING, ELROD, and HIGGINSON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

The attorney appointed to represent Arnoldo Morales has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed briefs in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Morales has filed responses. The record is not sufficiently developed to allow us to make a fair evaluation of Morales's ineffective assistance of counsel

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 16-40281

claims; we therefore decline to consider the claims without prejudice to collateral review. *See United States v. Isgar*, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014).

We have reviewed counsel's briefs and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Morales's responses. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2. Morales's motion to file an out-of-time response is GRANTED. His motions to relieve counsel and for the appointment of new counsel are DENIED.