

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 16-41085  
Conference Calendar

---

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

April 18, 2017

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

JORGE MAURICIO VASQUEZ,

Defendant-Appellant

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Texas  
USDC No. 7:16-CR-323-1

---

Before KING, HAYNES, and GRAVES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

The Federal Public Defender appointed to represent Jorge Mauricio Vasquez has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Vasquez has filed a response. We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Vasquez's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 16-41085

presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, counsel's motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.

---

<sup>1</sup> The district court did not err by declining to apply a sentencing guidelines range provided by a guidelines amendment that would go into effect more than three months after Vasquez's sentencing. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(4)(A) (requiring district courts to consider, except in remanded cases, the sentencing range provided by the guidelines "in effect on the date the defendant is sentenced"); *see also United States v. Caro-Alarcon*, 420 F. App'x 397, 397-98 (5th Cir. 2011).