

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

---

No. 18-11348  
Conference Calendar

---

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

October 30, 2019

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

GILBERT GARCIA,

Defendant-Appellant

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Texas  
USDC No. 3:17-CR-287-1

---

Before CLEMENT, GRAVES, and OLDHAM, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

The attorney appointed to represent Gilbert Garcia has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Garcia has not filed a response. We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review.

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 18-11348

Our review reveals a clerical error in the written judgment concerning the subsections of the statute governing Garcia’s conviction on Count One of the indictment. The judgment erroneously states that Garcia was convicted under “21 U.S.C. §§ 841(A)(L), (B)(L)(C),” rather than “21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C),” as is charged in Count One of the indictment.

Accordingly, counsel’s motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. See 5TH CIR. R. 42.2. This matter is REMANDED for the limited purpose of correcting the clerical error in the judgment. See FED. R. CRIM. P. 36; *United States v. Johnson*, 588 F.2d 961, 964 (5th Cir. 1979).