

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

---

No. 19-20032  
Conference Calendar

---

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

November 19, 2019

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

JOSEPH BERZAT,

Defendant-Appellant

---

Appeals from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Texas  
USDC No. 4:17-CR-254-1

---

Before STEWART, DENNIS, and HO, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

The attorney appointed to represent Joseph Berzat has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Berzat has filed a response and an incorporated motion to appoint new counsel, which is DENIED. The record is not sufficiently developed to allow us to make a fair evaluation of Berzat's claim of ineffective assistance of counsel; we

---

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 19-20032

therefore decline to consider the claim without prejudice to his petition for collateral review. *See United States v. Isgar*, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014).

We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Berzat's response. We concur in counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. To the extent that Berzat challenges Count 2 of his conviction for aiding and abetting the use and discharge of a firearm during the commission of a crime of violence and his sentence, his arguments are barred by the waiver provision in his knowing and voluntary plea agreement. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.*