

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

June 1, 2020

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

No. 19-41001
Conference Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

RUDY ALBERTO GONZALEZ-SANTOS,

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of Texas
USDC No. 2:19-CR-1971-1

Before DAVIS, SMITH, and SOUTHWICK, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

The Federal Public Defender appointed to represent Rudy Alberto Gonzalez-Santos has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Gonzalez-Santos has filed a response. The record is not sufficiently developed to allow us to make a fair evaluation of Gonzalez-Santos's claim of ineffective assistance of counsel; we therefore

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 19-41001

decline to consider the claim without prejudice to collateral review. *See United States v. Isgar*, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014).

We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Gonzalez-Santos's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, the motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.