

United States Court of Appeals
for the Fifth Circuit

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

April 18, 2022

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

No. 21-10575
Summary Calendar

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff—Appellee,

versus

JONAS CARRERA,

Defendant—Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Texas
USDC No. 3:14-CR-367-40

Before SMITH, HIGGINSON, and WILLETT, *Circuit Judges.*

PER CURIAM:*

Jonas Carrera, federal prisoner #12834-180, seeks leave to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP) in his appeal from the denial of his 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A)(i) motion for compassionate release. The district court determined that even had Carrera shown extraordinary and compelling

* Pursuant to 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5.4.

No. 21-10575

reasons as required by § 3582(c)(1)(A)(i), the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors did not warrant relief. Specifically, the court cited the nature of Carrera's offense of conviction, his history and characteristics, and the needs for the sentence to reflect the seriousness of his offense, promote respect for the law, and provide just punishment. *See* § 3553(a)(1), (2)(A). Carrera does not address the district court's § 3553(a) analysis and has therefore abandoned any challenge to it. *See Yohey v. Collins*, 985 F.2d 222, 224-25 (5th Cir. 1993). Since the district court's § 3553(a) determination alone constitutes an adequate basis for denying Carrera compassionate release, we do not reach his remaining arguments. *See United States v. Chambliss*, 948 F.3d 691, 693-94 (5th Cir. 2020).

Carrera fails to identify a nonfrivolous issue for appeal. Therefore, his IFP motion is DENIED, and the appeal is DISMISSED as frivolous. *See Baugh v. Taylor*, 117 F.3d 197, 202 & n.24 (5th Cir. 1997); *Howard v. King*, 707 F.2d 215, 220 (5th Cir. 1983); 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.