## United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED April 8, 2022

No. 21-10737 Summary Calendar Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

United States of America,

Plaintiff—Appellee,

versus

CRISTOBAL VELASQUEZ-JIMENEZ,

Defendant—Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas USDC No. 2:20-CR-73-1

Before JOLLY, WILLETT, and ENGELHARDT, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

The attorney appointed to represent Cristobal Velasquez-Jimenez has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Velasquez-Jimenez has filed a response.

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIRCUIT RULE 47.5.4.

## No. 21-10737

We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein, as well as Velasquez-Jimenez's response. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Moreover, because the record is not sufficiently developed to allow us to make a fair evaluation of any claim that he received ineffective assistance of counsel, we decline to review such claims without prejudice to collateral review. *See United States v. Isgar*, 739 F.3d 829, 841 (5th Cir. 2014). Accordingly, counsel's motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. *See* 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.