

**NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FULL-TEXT PUBLICATION**

**File Name: 06a0114n.06**

**Filed: February 14, 2006**

**No. 05-3317**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT**

JOHN WYSONG,

**Plaintiff-Appellee,**

v.

BRUCE RAMAGE, Officer and JAIMEE COULTER,  
Patrolman,

**Defendants-Appellants.**

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

**ON APPEAL FROM THE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT  
COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OHIO**

**MEMORANDUM  
OPINION**

**BEFORE: MARTIN, NORRIS, and DAUGHTREY, Circuit Judges.**

**PER CURIAM.** Defendants Bruce Ramage and Jaimee Coulter appeal an order of the district court denying them qualified immunity in a lawsuit brought against them by plaintiff John Wysong, who contends that they used excessive force during his arrest.

Having had the benefit of oral argument and having carefully considered the record on appeal, the briefs of the parties, and the applicable law, we are not persuaded that the district court erred in denying defendants qualified immunity.

Because the reasoning which supports the denial of qualified immunity has been articulated by the district court, the issuance of a detailed written opinion by this court would serve no useful purpose. Accordingly, the ruling of the district court is **affirmed** upon the reasoning employed by that court in its Opinions and Orders dated January 18, 2005 and February 24, 2005.

*No. 05-3317*  
*Wysong v. City of Heath*