

File Name: 08a0327n.06

Filed: June 9, 2008

NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FULL-TEXT PUBLICATION

No. 07-6010

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT**SANDI D. JACKSON, as next friend and parent  
of, KEELY A. JACKSON,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

FRANK MIX, SUSIE YONKERS, JEANNIE  
COLE, AMY BURKE, SHANNON ATKINSON,  
KIM WILSON, AMY NIX, KERI CRESS,ON APPEAL FROM THE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT  
COURT FOR THE MIDDLE  
DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

Defendants-Appellees.

---

/   
Before: KENNEDY and MARTIN, Circuit Judges, and HOOD, District Judge.\*

BOYCE F. MARTIN, JR., Circuit Judge. Plaintiff Sandi Jackson alleges that defendants, individually and in concert with each other, violated her daughter Keely's constitutional rights. None of the factual allegations contained in her complaint, however, are linked with any specific defendant, and the complaint fails to address how defendants' actions were objectively unreasonable. Citing these failures, the district court gave Jackson twenty-one days to amend her complaint under *Achterhof v. Selvaggio*, 886 F.2d 826, 831 (6th Cir. 1989) (if a plaintiff fails to allege sufficient factual allegations necessary to sustain his § 1983 action, a court must accord the plaintiff an

---

\* The Honorable Joseph M. Hood, Senior United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Kentucky, sitting by designation.

additional opportunity to come forward with such allegations). Instead of curing the problem, Jackson's second complaint simply re-alleges that "*all* of the defendants participated in violating her substantive due process rights by committing several unconstitutional acts." This is not specific enough to sustain her claim, and the defendants are thus entitled to a dismissal of the complaint under FED. R. CIV. P. 12(b)(6) (failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted). We thus AFFIRM the holding of the district court.