

NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FULL-TEXT PUBLICATION

File Name: 09a0447n.06

No. 08-3961

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT**

FILED
Jun 30, 2009
LEONARD GREEN, Clerk

PATRICIA L. MECHLEY,)
)
 Plaintiff-Appellant,)
)
 v.)
)
 PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY, DISABILITY)
 BENEFIT PLAN & TRUSTEES,)
)
 Defendants-Appellees.)

**ON APPEAL FROM THE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURT FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
OHIO**

OPINION

BEFORE: NORRIS, BATCHELDER, and KETHLEDGE, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIUM. Plaintiff, Patricia L. Mechley, appeals the district court’s determination under 29 U.S.C. § 1132 (ERISA) that defendants, the Procter & Gamble Disability Benefit Plan and the Plan’s Trustees, correctly denied her claim for total disability benefits under the Plan. Plaintiff argued that the Plan’s determination was procedurally flawed, and that the weight of the evidence belied its conclusion. The district court granted defendants’ motion for judgment on the administrative record, and Mechley appealed.

Having had the benefit of oral argument and having carefully considered the record on appeal, the briefs of the parties, and the applicable law, we are not persuaded that the district court erred in granting judgment to defendants.

Because the reasoning which supports judgment for defendants has been articulated by the district court in its exhaustive, well-reasoned and thorough opinion, the issuance of a detailed written

No. 08-3961

Mechley v. Proctor & Gamble Company

opinion by this court would be duplicative and serve no useful purpose. Accordingly, the judgment of the district court is **affirmed** upon the reasoning employed by that court in its Opinion and Order dated July 17, 2008.