



summary judgment to defendant. On appeal, our jurisdiction is premised upon diversity of citizenship. 28 U.S.C. § 1332.

We have carefully considered the record and briefs of counsel. Having done so, we conclude that a detailed opinion would serve no useful purpose because the panel adopts the reasoning set forth in the Opinion and Order of the district court, which was filed on August 17, 2009. *See Cooney v. Bob Evans Farms, Inc.*, 645 F.Supp. 2d 620 (E.D. Mich. 2009). To the extent that the district court's opinion could be read to imply that plaintiff's Whistleblower claim somehow involved her sexual discrimination claim, we note that her allegation in her complaint argument on appeal is that her Whistleblower claim related to her purported threat to report illicit drug use on company property. Since the record contains no evidence that she ever in fact made such a threat, the district court's conclusion that she failed to establish the causation element of a *prima facie* case is correct.

The judgment is **affirmed**.