

NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FULL-TEXT PUBLICATION

File Name: 13a0793n.06

No. 12-4275

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

JERRY E. MOORE, II,  
Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

DWAYNE D. PIELECH; BELMONT COUNTY,  
OHIO; VILLAGE OF BRIDGEPORT; AMERICAN  
FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY &  
MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES (AFSCME), Council  
8; JANE/JOHN DOE; and AMERICAN  
FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY &  
MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES (AFSCME), Local  
3073,  
Defendants-Appellees.

**FILED**  
Aug 28, 2013  
DEBORAH S. HUNT, Clerk

ON APPEAL FROM THE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT  
COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN  
DISTRICT OF OHIO

---

BEFORE: CLAY, SUTTON, and GRIFFIN, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM. Plaintiff Jerry E. Moore, II, alleges that the village in which he lives, the county for which he works, and the union that represents him, all discriminated against him on the basis of his race. Specifically, Moore brought claims under federal and state law against the Village of Bridgeport for abuse of process, malicious prosecution, and racially discriminatory investigation practices; Belmont County and Dwayne Pielech for workplace race discrimination and maintaining a hostile work environment; and Ohio Council 8 and Local 3073 of the American Federation of

*No. 12-4275*

*Moore, II v. Pielech, et al.*

State, County and Municipal Employees for failure to pursue grievances. Moore's claims did not survive defendants' numerous motions to dismiss and for summary judgment. He timely appealed.

After carefully reviewing the record, the parties' briefs, and the applicable law, we find no error in the district court's judgment. The reasoning that supports the judgment for defendants was clearly and persuasively articulated by the district court. The issuance of a detailed written opinion by us would be unduly duplicative and serve no jurisprudential purpose. We therefore affirm the district court's judgment for the reasons stated in that court's opinion.

Affirmed.