

NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FULL-TEXT PUBLICATION

File Name: 14a0827n.06

No. 14-1067

**FILED**  
Oct 31, 2014  
DEBORAH S. HUNT, Clerk

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

TERRIE M. HEIBEL, JOSEPH T. KRYWICKI, and )  
SUSAN C. KRYWICKI, )

Plaintiffs-Appellants, )

v. )

FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE )  
ASSOCIATION, )

Defendant-Appellee, )

ON APPEAL FROM THE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT  
COURT FOR THE WESTERN  
DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY,

Intervenor-Appellee.

MEMORANDUM OPINION

**BEFORE: GIBBONS and KETHLEDGE, Circuit Judges; and DOW, District Judge.\***

**PER CURIAM.** In 2008, Terrie Heibel and Joseph Krywicki signed a note and mortgage to purchase a house in Holland, Michigan, in which their daughter and grandchildren could live. After default and foreclosure on the home, the foreclosing creditor assigned its interest in the property to the Federal National Mortgage Association (“Fannie Mae”), which by that time had been placed into conservatorship by the Federal Housing Finance Agency (“FHFA”). Fannie Mae then brought an action to evict the daughter from the residence. In response, Heibel, Krywicki, and their daughter initiated a separate state court action against

---

\*The Honorable Robert M. Dow, Jr., United States District Judge for the Northern District of Illinois, sitting by designation.

No. 14-1067

Heibel, et al. v. Federal National Mortgage Association

Fannie Mae and its predecessors in interest, alleging violation of their constitutional due process rights. After defendants removed and FHFA intervened as conservator, the district court held that Fannie Mae was not a government entity subject to such a constitutional limitation and dismissed the due process claim. Heibel and her family ask us to review that decision, and whether Fannie Mae is a government actor presents the sole question in this appeal.

We have recently answered that exact question in the negative, fully cognizant of FHFA's conservatorship. See *Rubin v. Fannie Mae*, No. 13-1010, 2014 WL 4800282, at \*1–2 (6th Cir. Sept. 29, 2014); *Bernard v. Fed. Nat'l Mortg. Ass'n*, No. 13-1477, 2014 WL 4800123, at \*4 (6th Cir. Sept. 29, 2014). We have similarly rejected arguments that Fannie Mae's twin, Freddie Mac, is a government actor for the purpose of constitutional claims. See *Fed. Home Loan Mortg. Corp. v. Gaines*, No. 13-1249, 2014 WL 4815274, at \*1–2 (6th Cir. Sept. 30, 2014); *Mik v. Fed. Home Loan Mortg. Corp.*, 743 F.3d 149, 168 (6th Cir. 2014). Given the full reasoning of those cases, no more explanation is necessary here. We agree with the holding of the district court and affirm its judgment.