

**NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FULL-TEXT PUBLICATION**

File Name: 15a0275n.06

Case No. 14-5627

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT**

**FILED**  
Apr 14, 2015  
DEBORAH S. HUNT, Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff-Appellee,	)	
	)	
v.	)	ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED
	)	STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
	)	THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF
ANTOINETTE GOODLOE,	)	KENTUCKY
	)	
Defendant-Appellant.	)	
	)	
	)	

BEFORE: NORRIS, SUTTON, and DONALD, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM. In 2008, Antoine Goodloe pleaded guilty to a crack-related offense. See 21 U.S.C. § 846. He received a 121-month sentence, one month above the then-applicable mandatory minimum. After the Fair Sentencing Act of 2010 lowered that minimum to sixty months, Goodloe moved for a sentence reduction. See 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2). The district court obliged him one month, but refused to apply the new minimum retroactively. That refusal, Goodloe now argues, violates both the Act and the Constitution. But *United States v. Blewett*, 746 F.3d 647, 650 (6th Cir. 2013) (en banc), holds otherwise. Because the old minimum still applies to his case, Goodloe received the maximum reduction the law permits.

For these reasons, we affirm.