In re: Larry Boyett, Jr. Doc. 6012606570 Att. 1

Case: 15-5824 Document: 9-2 Filed: 01/07/2016 Page: 1

NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FULL-TEXT PUBLICATION File Name: 16a0005n.06

	No. 15-5824	
	STATES COURT OF APPEALS OR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT	FILED Jan 07, 2016 DEBORAH S. HUNT, Clerk
)	
IN RE: LARRY BOYETT, JR.,)	
Movant.) C	RDER
140 vane.)	
)	
)	
)	

Before: CLAY and ROGERS, Circuit Judges; and THAPAR, District Judge.*

*** *** ***

PER CURIAM. Last year, the Supreme Court held that the Due Process Clause forbids a court from using use the Armed Career Criminal Act's residual clause to increase a defendant's sentence. See Johnson v. United States, 135 S. Ct. 2551, 2563 (2015). Last month, this Circuit held that Johnson announced a "new rule of constitutional law, made retroactive to cases on collateral review by the Supreme Court, that was previously unavailable." In re Watkins, No. 15-5038, 2015 WL 9241176, at *1 (6th Cir. Dec. 17, 2015) (quoting 28 U.S.C. § 2255(h)(2)). Larry Boyett, a federal inmate who was sentenced under the Act, now wishes to file a second or successive habeas petition in light of Johnson.

^{*}Hon. Amul R. Thapar, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Kentucky, sitting by designation.

Case: 15-5824 Document: 9-2 Filed: 01/07/2016 Page: 2

Before filing a second or successive petition under § 2255, a petitioner must first obtain certification from a panel of "the appropriate court of appeals," which in this case is the Sixth Circuit. See 28 U.S.C. § 2255(h). We may certify a second or successive petition if it has identified, among other things, "a new rule of constitutional law, made retroactive to cases on collateral review by the Supreme Court, that was previously unavailable [to the petitioner]." Id. § 2255(h)(2).

Here, Boyett and the government both agree that Johnson announced such a rule. And this Court's decision in Watkins—a published decision—makes clear that Johnson did precisely that. See Watkins, 2015 WL 9241176 at *7. Thus, we hereby **GRANT** Boyett's motion and authorize the district court to consider a second or successive habeas petition.