

**NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FULL-TEXT PUBLICATION**

File Name: 17a0421n.06

**Case No. 16-2314**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT**

**FILED**  
Jul 20, 2017  
DEBORAH S. HUNT, Clerk

**DANIEL MCCA****W,** )  
 )  
 **Plaintiff-Appellant,** )  
 )  
 **v.** )  
 )  
 **CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF WATERFORD,** )  
 **et al.,** )  
 )  
 **Defendants-Appellees.** )

**ON APPEAL FROM THE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT  
COURT FOR THE EASTERN  
DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN**

**MEMORANDUM  
OPINION**

**BEFORE: SUHRHEINRICH, GILMAN, and McKEAGUE, Circuit Judges.**

**PER CURIAM.** Plaintiff-appellant Daniel McCaw is the former Chief of Police in Waterford Township. In March 2015, his employment was terminated when the Township’s Police and Fire Pension Board, upon application of the Waterford Township Board of Trustees, voted to retire him under provisions of state law because he had reached the age of 60 years. McCaw commenced this action against the Township and its Trustees, asserting several claims under federal and state law. The district court issued a 30-page opinion in August 2016, awarding summary judgment in favor of defendants and disposing of all claims.

In this appeal, McCaw challenges only the court’s ruling on his claim that the manner of his termination violated his right to procedural due process. We review the summary judgment ruling de novo and, having duly considered McCaw’s appellate arguments, we find that they

Case No. 16-2314, *McCaw v. Charter Township of Waterford*

merely rehash arguments fully and properly disposed of by the district court. The district court's opinion represents a well-reasoned and proper application of the law to the record facts. McCaw's arguments fail to identify any error. Concluding that our opinion explicitly addressing his arguments would be entirely duplicative, we hereby **AFFIRM** the judgment of the district court based on the analysis set forth in its opinion.