UNPUBLISHED ORDER
Not to be cited per Circuit Rule 53

United States Court of Appeals

For the Seventh Circuit
Chicago, Illinois 60604

Submitted July 14, 2006[*]
Decided July 18, 2006

Before

Hon. RICHARD D. CUDAHY, *Circuit Judge*

Hon. MICHAEL S. KANNE, *Circuit Judge*

Hon. DIANE S. SYKES, *Circuit Judge*

No. 06-1508

VAIL WHITE,	Appeal from the United States District
Plaintiff-Appellant,	Court for the Northern District of
	Illinois, Eastern Division
v.	
	No. 04 C 5457
KEITH ALLEN,	
Defendant-Appellee.	James B. Zagel,
	Judge.

O R D E R

Vail White brought suit under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e *et seq.*, and the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, 29 U.S.C. § 621 *et seq.*, alleging that Keith Allen, the manager and owner of several McDonald's restaurants where White was employed, discriminated against him on the basis of sex and age and fired him in retaliation for complaining about the discrimination. The district court granted summary judgment for Allen because

---

[*] After an examination of the briefs and the record, we have concluded that oral argument is unnecessary. Thus, the appeal is submitted on the briefs and the record. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

White presented no evidence of age discrimination or retaliation and failed to exhaust his administrative remedies by filing a charge of sex discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

On appeal White does not identify any error made by the district court, nor does he develop an argument with citations to legal authority or the record. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 28(a)(9)(A); *Anderson v. Hardman*, 241 F.3d 544, 545 (7th Cir. 2001). The argument section of his brief consists of two sentences requesting simply that the district court's judgment be overturned. Although we construe the filings of pro se litigants liberally, White still must offer "more than a generalized assertion of error." *Anderson*, 241 F.3d at 545.

Accordingly this appeal is DISMISSED.