

NONPRECEDENTIAL DISPOSITION

To be cited only in accordance with  
Fed. R. App. P. 32.1

# United States Court of Appeals

For the Seventh Circuit  
Chicago, Illinois 60604

Submitted July 14, 2011\*

Decided July 14, 2011

**Before**

FRANK H. EASTERBROOK, *Chief Judge*

RICHARD D. CUDAHY, *Circuit Judge*

DANIEL A. MANION, *Circuit Judge*

Nos. 10-3688 & 10-2966

SHEEHY ENTERPRIZES, INC.,  
*Petitioner/Cross-Respondent,*

*v.*

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS  
BOARD,

*Respondent/Cross-Petitioner,*

and

LABORERS' INTERNATIONAL  
UNION OF NORTH AMERICA, STATE  
OF INDIANA DISTRICT COUNCIL,

*Intervening Cross-Petitioner.*

Petition for Review and Cross-Application  
for Enforcement of an Order of the  
National Labor Relations Board

No. NLRB-1:25-CA-30583

---

\*This successive appeal has been submitted to the original panel pursuant to Operating Procedure 6(b). After reviewing the briefs and the record, the panel is unanimously of the view that oral argument is unnecessary. Accordingly, the appeal has been submitted on the briefs and the record alone. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a).

**ORDER**

This case is before us for a second time as a successive appeal under Rule 6(b) of our Operating Procedures. On April 20, 2010, we reviewed the merits of Sheehy Enterprises' challenges to the January 30, 2009 decision of a two-member quorum of the National Labor Relations Board. We denied Sheehy's petition for enforcement. Subsequently, the Supreme Court rendered all the decisions of two-member Board ineffectual in *New Process Steel, L.P. v. NLRB*, 130 S.Ct. 2635 (2010). We remanded the case to the Board for further review. The properly constituted Board took up the case and on August 10 reached the same conclusion as the previous quorum, incorporating its reasoning. Sheehy again petitions for enforcement, but there is no need to give the appeal a second look. We have already indicated that we were prepared to deny an identical petition on the merits and we complete the task here without hesitation (and without oral argument, pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 34(a)(1)).

Sheehy's petition for review is **DENIED** and the Board's application for enforcement is **GRANTED**.