

Diaz also maintains that his former lawyers were ineffective. This claim apparently turns on the belief that counsel should have pressed Diaz's frivolous objection to including all of the actual methamphetamine when calculating the drug quantity. Whatever the theory, a claim of ineffective assistance should be presented on collateral review, where the necessary record can be developed. See *Massaro v. United States*, 538 U.S. 500, 504-05 (2003); *United States v. Harris*, 394 F.3d 543, 557-58 (7th Cir. 2005).

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the appeal is **DISMISSED**.