Alvandra Allen v. Bill Lee Doc. 702738960

NONPRECEDENTIAL DISPOSITION

Pages: 2

To be cited only in accordance with Fed. R. App. P. 32.1

United States Court of Appeals

For the Seventh Circuit Chicago, Illinois 60604

Submitted April 13, 2016* Decided April 13, 2016

Before

JOEL M. FLAUM, Circuit Judge

KENNETH F. RIPPLE, Circuit Judge

DAVID F. HAMILTON, Circuit Judge

No. 16-1250

ALVANDRA J. ALLEN,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin.

v.

No. 15-cv-1566-PP

EMMANUEL or BILL LEE,

Defendant-Appellee.

Pamela Pepper, Judge.

ORDER

Alvandra Allen sued "Emmanuel or Bill Lee" for abusing him shortly after his birth in 1971 by, among other things, removing his pancreas, shooting him in the head (an episode in which he says he "died and came back to life"), and replacing his soul with an evil spirit. The district court screened the complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) and dismissed it because it was frivolous and failed to state a claim.

^{*} The defendant was not served with process in the district court and is not participating in this appeal. After examining the appellant's brief and the record, we have concluded that the case is appropriate for summary disposition. See FED. R. APP. P. 34(a)(2)(C).

Case: 16-1250 Document: 15 Filed: 04/13/2016 Pages: 2

No. 16-1250 Page 2

Allen, the court ruled, did not allege any federal or constitutional violations and, to the extent he tried to allege any criminal charges, he lacked standing to do so.

On appeal Allen states that his "legal argument" is "to gather everyone that was there, especially my doctor at birth," in order to hold the defendant responsible for his "violent criminal acts." Allen develops no reasoned basis for disturbing the district court's ruling that his allegations are frivolous. See FED. R. APP. P. 28(a)(8)(A); see also *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 327–28 (1989) (district courts may dismiss suits describing "fantastic or delusional scenarios"). We therefore AFFIRM the district court's judgment.