United States Court of Appeals FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

No.	06-2	2676
United States of America,	*	
Appellee,	*	
•	*	Appeal from the United States
V.	*	District Court for the
	*	Western District of Missouri.
Rafael Figueroa-Salas, also known as	*	
Rafael Figaroa, also known as Jose F.	*	[UNPUBLISHED]
Aviles, also known as Luis	*	
Gonzalez-Quinones, also known as	*	
Hector Quintero, also known as	*	
Hector Quintero-Aviles, also known a	s *	
Luis Gonzalez,	*	
•	*	
Appellant.	*	
Submitted: October 5, 2007		

Submitted: October 5, 2007 Filed: October 18, 2007

Before MURPHY, SMITH, and SHEPHERD, Circuit Judges.

....

PER CURIAM.

Rafael Figueroa-Salas appeals the 73-month prison sentence the district court¹ imposed upon his guilty plea to illegal reentry after having been deported following

¹The Honorable Howard F. Sachs, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.

an aggravated felony conviction, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a) and (b)(2). Figueroa-Salas's counsel has moved to withdraw and filed a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), arguing that the district court erred in sentencing Figueroa-Salas to 73 months in prison.

We review a sentence for reasonableness, and a sentence within the correctly calculated Guidelines range is presumptively reasonable. See United States v. Lincoln, 413 F.3d 716, 717-18 (8th Cir. 2005); see also Rita v. United States, 127 S. Ct. 2456, 2462 (2007) (approving presumption). We conclude that Figueroa-Salas's sentence is not unreasonable, as nothing in the record suggests the district court based the sentence on an improper or irrelevant factor, failed to consider a relevant factor, or made a clear error of judgment in weighing appropriate factors. See United States v. Haack, 403 F.3d 997, 1004 (8th Cir. 2005) (reasonableness of sentence reviewed for abuse of discretion; defining ways in which abuse of discretion may occur).

Having reviewed the record under <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), we find no nonfrivolous issues. Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment and we grant counsel's motion to withdraw on condition that counsel inform appellant about the procedures for filing petitions for rehearing and for certiorari.
