## United States Court of Appeals FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

|                           | No. 06-4082  |
|---------------------------|--|
| United States of America, | *  |
| Appellee,                 | *  |
| V.                        | <ul><li>* Appeal from the United States</li><li>* District Court for the</li></ul> |
| Reginald Irish,           | <ul> <li>* Western District of Missouri.</li> <li>*</li> </ul>                     |
| Appellant.                | * [UNPUBLISHED]<br>*   |

Submitted: July 30, 2008 Filed: July 31, 2008

Before WOLLMAN, SMITH, and GRUENDER, Circuit Judges

PER CURIAM.

Reginald Irish pleaded guilty to being a felon in possession of a firearm, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2). The district court<sup>1</sup> sentenced Irish to 77 months in prison and 3 years of supervised release. On appeal, Irish's counsel has moved to withdraw and filed a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and Irish has filed three pro se briefs. For the following reasons, we reject the arguments that they have raised.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Richard E. Dorr, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.

First, the Second Amendment does not bar laws prohibiting felons from possessing firearms. <u>See Dist. of Columbia v. Heller</u>, 128 S. Ct. 2783, 2816-17 (2008). Second, Congress did not exceed its authority or violate the Commerce Clause when it enacted section 922(g). <u>See United States v. Hill</u>, 386 F.3d 855, 859 (8th Cir. 2004); <u>United States v. Shepherd</u>, 284 F.3d 965, 969 (8th Cir. 2002). Third, general federal criminal laws like section 922(g) apply nationwide. <u>See Lewis v. United States</u>, 523 U.S. 155, 171 (1998). Last, the required nexus between a firearm and commerce is established by showing that the firearm at one time traveled in interstate commerce. <u>See United States v. Leathers</u>, 354 F.3d 955, 959 (8th Cir. 2004).

After reviewing the record independently under <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), we have found no nonfrivolous issues. We grant counsel's motion to withdraw, and deny Irish's motions for appointment of new counsel and for a writ of habeas corpus ad testificandum. The judgment is affirmed.