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Before RILEY, SMITH, and BENTON, Circuit Judges.

## PER CURIAM.

Honduran citizen Roman Bermudez-Garcia (Garcia) petitions for review of an order of the Board of Immigration Appeals affirming an immigration judge's (IJ’s) denial of Garcia's request for a continuance. Garcia argues that the IJ abused his discretion by denying a continuance. ${ }^{2}$ This court has held that the IJ's discretionary
${ }^{1}$ Eric H. Holder, Jr. has been appointed to serve as Attorney General of the United States, and is substituted as respondent pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 43(c).
${ }^{2}$ Garcia's other arguments--that the IJ did not comply with the regulations, should have ruled on his eligibility for temporary protected status, and denied him due
decision to deny a motion for continuance is not subject to appellate review. See Ikenokwalu-White v. Gonzales, 495 F.3d 919, 923-24 (8th Cir. 2007) (no jurisdiction to review IJ's decision to deny continuance of alien's removal hearing); Grass v. Gonzales, 418 F.3d 876, 879 (8th Cir. 2005) (same). We are bound to follow this precedent. See United States v. Wright, 22 F.3d 787, 788 (8th Cir. 1994) (panel of this court is bound by prior Eighth Circuit decision unless prior decision is overruled by this court sitting en banc).

Accordingly, we deny the petition.
process--are not reviewable because Garcia has not exhausted his administrative remedies. See Ming Ming Wijono v. Gonzales, 439 F.3d 868, 871-72 (8th Cir. 2006) (if petitioner fails to raise issue in appeal to Board of Immigration Appeals, petitioner has not exhausted administrative remedies, which precludes consideration of claim in petition for review).

