## **United States Court of Appeals**FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

\_\_\_\_

-			<del></del>		
	No. 0	<b>)</b> 9- [	1312		
James Charles Fudge,  Appellant,  v.		* * * * *	Appeal from the United States District Court for the		
J. T. Banks, Assistant Warden, Var. Super Max, ADC; R. Evans, Lt., Va. Super Max, ADC; E. Hobbs, Lt., Arkansas Department of Correction T. Nelson-Courtney, Correctional Officer, Varner Super Max, ADC; R. McCarrell, originally sued as K. McCarrell,	arner	* * * * * * * * *	Eastern District of Arkansas.  [UNPUBLISHED]		
Appellees. *  Submitted: February 26, 2010 Filed: March 3, 2010  Before MELLOY, BOWMAN, and SMITH, Circuit Judges.					

PER CURIAM.

Arkansas inmate James Fudge appeals from the judgment of the District Court¹ entered in accordance with a jury verdict in favor of defendants on Fudge's excessive-force claims. Upon careful review, we find no basis for reversal. Specifically, we find no merit to Fudge's arguments on appeal, all of which relate to the District Court's handling of voir dire and evidentiary matters during trial. See Nicklasson v. Roper, 491 F.3d 830, 835 (8th Cir. 2007) ("The conduct of voir dire is generally left to the trial court's sound discretion."), cert. denied, 128 S. Ct. 2052 (2008); Cavataio v. City of Bella Villa, 570 F.3d 1015, 1020 (8th Cir. 2009) (noting that this Court will reverse on the basis of an erroneous evidentiary ruling only if that ruling is a clear and prejudicial abuse of the district court's broad discretion); EEOC v. HBE Corp., 135 F.3d 543, 551 (8th Cir. 1998) ("A timely and specific objection is necessary for a successful evidentiary appeal in the absence of plain error.").

Accordingly, we affi	irm.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable James M. Moody, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Arkansas.