United States Court of AppealsFOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

No. 10-1672

| No. 10-1672 | | |
|--|------|-------------------------------|
| | | |
| | .1. | |
| Michael Lewis Butler, | * | |
| | * | |
| Appellant, | * | |
| | * | |
| V. | * | |
| | * | |
| Larry Norris, Director, Arkansas | * | |
| Department of Correction; Greg | * | |
| Harmon, Warden, East Arkansas | * | |
| Regional Unit, ADC; Cheryl Evans, L | | |
| East Arkansas Regional Unit, ADC; | * | |
| Dondie Franklin, Sgt., East Arkansas | * | Appeal from the United States |
| Regional Unit, ADC; Harrison | * | District Court for the |
| Foreman, Sgt., East Arkansas Regiona | ıl * | Eastern District of Arkansas. |
| Unit, ADC; Lamontrell Jones, Officer | , * | |
| East Arkansas Regional Unit, ADC; | * | [UNPUBLISHED] |
| Timothy L. Morrison, Officer, East | * | |
| Arkansas Regional Unit, ADC; Felicia | ı * | |
| Phillips, East Arkansas Regional Unit, | , * | |
| ADC; Cleaster Hubbard, Officer, East | * | |
| Arkansas Regional Unit, ADC; Brends | a * | |
| Anderson, Officer, East Arkansas | * | |
| Regional Unit, ADC; T. Compton, | * | |
| Grievance Supervisor, ADC; James | * | |
| Gibson, Internal Affairs Supervisor, | * | |
| ADC; Kathy Stewart; Mary Willis, | * | |
| | * | |
| Appellees. | * | |
| 11 | | |

Submitted: September 9, 2010 Filed: September 16, 2010

Before LOKEN, MURPHY, and BENTON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Arkansas inmate Michael Lewis Butler filed a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint against several Arkansas Department of Correction employees. In September 2009, Butler's counsel informed the district court¹ that the parties had entered into a settlement agreement, and counsel requested the action be dismissed with prejudice. The court then ordered the complaint dismissed on September 14, but it did not enter judgment and retained jurisdiction for thirty days to vacate its order if a party showed that the settlement had not been completed. In October, Butler moved through counsel to vacate the dismissal order and to reopen the case. In November, Butler filed a separate pro se motion to vacate, arguing that the written settlement agreement did not reflect certain verbal assurances made by defendants. In January 2010, the district court denied the pending motions to vacate upon concluding that the parties had settled, and entered judgment dismissing the action with prejudice. Butler appeals.

We conclude that the district court did not clearly err in concluding that the parties had settled. <u>See Chaganti & Assocs., P.C. v. Nowotny</u>, 470 F.3d 1215, 1221 (8th Cir.2006) (standard of review; basic principles of contract law govern existence and enforcement of alleged settlement); <u>see also Worthy v. McKesson Corp.</u>, 756 F.2d 1370, 1373 (8th Cir. 1985) (per curiam) (parties to voluntary settlement agreement cannot avoid agreement simply because agreement ultimately proves to be disadvantageous). Accordingly, we affirm the judgment. <u>See</u> 8th Cir. R. 47B.

¹The Honorable Brian S. Miller, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Arkansas, adopting the report and recommendations of the Honorable Henry L. Jones, Jr., United States Magistrate Judge for the Eastern District of Arkansas.