## **United States Court of Appeals**FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

No. 10-1667		
Larry Kenneth Alexander,	*	
	*	
Appellant,	*	
	*	
V.	*	
Islam A. Hadhaalt Trustee of the	*	
John A. Hedback, Trustee of the	*	
Bankruptcy Estate of Georgia	*	
Yvonne Stephens,	*	
Appellee.	*	
No. 10-1855	*	Appeals from the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota and the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel
In re: G. Yvonne Stephens	*	
in ie. G. I vonne Stephens	*	[UNPUBLISHED]
Debtor	*	[CIVI CDLISTILD]
Deotor	*	
	*	
	*	
G. Yvonne Stephens	*	
r	*	
Appellant,	*	
11	*	
v.	*	
	*	
John A. Hedback, Trustee of the	*	
Bankruptcy Estate of G. Yvonne	*	
Stephens; Mary Jo A.	*	

Jensen-Carter, Trustee of the	*
Bankruptcy Estate of Larry	*
K. Alexander,	*
	*
Appellees.	*

\_\_\_\_\_

Submitted: October 4, 2010 Filed: October 6, 2010

\_\_\_\_\_

Before LOKEN, MURPHY, and BENTON, Circuit Judges.

\_\_\_\_\_

## PER CURIAM.

In these consolidated appeals, Larry Alexander challenges the district court's<sup>1</sup> order dismissing his action for a declaratory judgment as to his ownership of real property (No. 10-1667); and G. Yvonne Stephens challenges the order of the Bankruptcy Appellate Panel (BAP) affirming the bankruptcy court's<sup>2</sup> approval of a settlement between trustees regarding the same property (No. 10-1855).

In No. 10-1667, we find that dismissal was proper for the reasons stated by the district court. <u>See Followell v. United States</u>, 532 F.3d 707, 708 (8th Cir. 2008) (de novo review). In No. 10-1855, we agree with the BAP's analysis in all respects. <u>See In re Vote</u>, 276 F.3d 1024, 1026 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2002) (court of appeals applies same

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Davis S. Doty, United States District Judge for the District of Minnesota.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The Honorable Dennis D. O'Brien, United States Bankruptcy Judge for the District of Minnesota.

standard of review as BAP, reviewing bar	nkruptcy court's findings	of fact for clear
error, and its conclusions of law de novo).	Accordingly, we affirm.	See 8th Cir. R.
47B.		