

**United States Court of Appeals  
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

---

No. 10-3055

---

United States of America, \*  
\*  
Appellee, \*  
\*  
v. \*  
\*  
Theodore Tiger, Jr., also known as \*  
\*  
Abdullah, \*  
\*  
Appellant. \*

---

No. 10-3121

---

Appeals from the United States  
District Court for the  
Western District of Missouri.

United States of America, \*  
\* [UNPUBLISHED]  
Appellee, \*  
\*  
v. \*  
\*  
Theodore Tiger, Jr., \*  
\*  
Appellant. \*

---

Submitted: September 30, 2011  
Filed: October 5, 2011

---

Before WOLLMAN, BOWMAN, and SMITH, Circuit Judges.

---

PER CURIAM.

A jury found Theodore Tiger guilty of conspiring to distribute more than 5 grams of cocaine base (crack), in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(B), and 846 (Count 1); distributing 5 grams or more of crack, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(B) (Counts 2-3); and being a felon in possession of firearms, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2) (Count 4). The district court<sup>1</sup> sentenced him to concurrent prison terms of 135 months on Counts 1-3 and 120 months on Count 4, and 8 years of supervised release. Finding that by these offenses Tiger had violated the terms of the supervised release he was already serving, the court also revoked the earlier imposed supervised release and sentenced him to 24 months in prison, to be served consecutively to the 135-month sentence.

In these consolidated appeals, Tiger's counsel moved to withdraw and filed a brief under *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and Tiger moved to have new counsel appointed. After we reviewed the record independently pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we granted counsel's motion to withdraw, granted Tiger's motion for appointment of new counsel, and directed the parties to brief the issue of whether there was sufficient evidence to support the drug-conspiracy conviction. After careful review of the arguments advanced by both parties, and viewing the evidence in a light most favorable to the jury's verdict and accepting all reasonable inferences that support the verdict, we conclude that there was sufficient evidence to support the drug-conspiracy conviction. See *United States v. Hernandez*, 569 F.3d 893, 896 (8th Cir. 2009).

Accordingly, we affirm the judgments of the district court.

---

<sup>1</sup>The Honorable Gary A. Fenner, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.