United States Court of AppealsFOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

	No. 11-1625	
Dale Brent Adams,	*	
Appellant,	*	
	* Appeal fa	rom the United States
v.	* District (Court for the
	* Western	District of Arkansas.
Tyson Foods, Inc.,	*	
•	* [[UNPUBLISHED]
Appellee.	*	-
		
Submitted: October 4, 2011		
	Filed: October 7, 2	011

Before MURPHY, ARNOLD, and BENTON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Dale Adams appeals the district court's¹ adverse grant of summary judgment in his employment-discrimination action against his former employer, Tyson Foods. Upon careful de novo review, we conclude that the district court properly granted Tyson Foods summary judgment, because Adams failed to present a trialworthy issue as to whether Tyson's legitimate, non-discriminatory reason for his termination was a pretext for unlawful discrimination. See Tusing v. Des Moines Indep. Cmty. Sch. Dist., 639 F.3d 507, 514-18 (8th Cir. 2011) (standard of review; burden-shifting

¹The Honorable Jimm Larry Hendren, United States District Judge for the Western District of Arkansas.

analysis); Manning v. American Republic Ins. Co., 604 F.3d 1030, 1044 (8th Cir.) (absenteeism constitutes legitimate, non-discriminatory reason for termination), cert. denied, 131 S. Ct. 648 (2010); Bloom v. Metro Heart Grp. of St. Louis, Inc., 440 F.3d 1025, 1028-29 (8th Cir. 2006) (conclusory allegations and speculation are insufficient to defeat summary judgment).

Accordingly, we affirm. <u>See</u> 8th Cir. R. 47B. We also deny Adams's pending motions for an injunction and sanctions.
