United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

	
	No. 11-2888
Malich Reed,	* *
Appellant,	*
V.	 * Appeal from the United States * District Court for the
John Does, 1-4, FCI Forrest City; Outlaw, Warden, FCI - Forrest Cit	
Breckon, Captain, FCI - Forrest Ci M Jemmott, Lt., FCI - Forrest City	
Appellees.	*
Submitted: January 6, 2012 Filed: January 26, 2012	
Before LOKEN, BYE, and COLLOTON, Circuit Judges.	

PER CURIAM.

Federal inmate Malich Reed appeals following the district court's¹ adverse grant of partial summary judgment and denial of reconsideration in his action under Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Fed. Bureau of Narcotics, 403 U.S. 388

¹The Honorable Susan Webber Wright, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Arkansas, adopting the report and recommendations of the Honorable H. David Young, United States Magistrate Judge for the Eastern District of Arkansas.

(1971). While the parties have not raised the issue, we conclude that we lack jurisdiction to entertain Reed's appeal. See Huggins v. FedEx Ground Package Sys., Inc., 566 F.3d 771, 773 (8th Cir. 2009) (where it appears jurisdiction is lacking, appellate courts are obligated to consider sua sponte jurisdictional issues). Specifically, there is no final judgment because Reed's excessive-force claim is still pending below, see 28 U.S.C. § 1291 (courts of appeals have jurisdiction of appeals from final decisions); Thomas v. Basham, 931 F.2d 521, 523 (8th Cir. 1991) (appeal was premature when some claims remained pending), and none of the exceptions to the final-judgment rule apply, see Huggins, 566 F.3d at 775 (interlocutory appeal under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b)); Krein v. Norris, 250 F.3d 1184, 1187 (8th Cir. 2001) (collateral-order doctrine); Thomas, 931 F.2d at 523 (Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b) certification). Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal as premature.
