

**United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

No. 11-3404

United States of America,

Appellee,

v.

Kareem E. Ramos, also known as
Kareem R. Ramos,

Appellant.

*
*
*
* Appeal from the United States
* District Court for the Southern
* District of Iowa.
*
* [UNPUBLISHED]
*
*

Submitted: May 31, 2012
Filed: June 5, 2012

Before MURPHY, ARNOLD, and SHEPHERD, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Kareem Ramos directly appeals the below-Guidelines-range sentence the district court¹ imposed after he pleaded guilty to attempting to entice a minor to engage in sexual activities, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2422(b), and possessing child pornography, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(4)(B). His counsel has moved to withdraw, and has filed a brief citing Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967).

¹The Honorable John A. Jarvey, United States District Judge for the Southern District of Iowa.

To the extent the Anders brief may be read as challenging the reasonableness of Ramos's sentence, we conclude the argument fails. See United States v. Feemster, 572 F.3d 455, 461-62 (8th Cir. 2009) (en banc) (describing method for undertaking appellate review of sentences); United States v. Moore, 581 F.3d 681, 684 (8th Cir. 2009) (per curiam) (where district court has sentenced defendant below advisory Guidelines range, it is nearly inconceivable that court abused its discretion in not varying downward further). Having independently reviewed the record under Penon v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), we have found no nonfrivolous issue.

Accordingly, we affirm. We also grant counsel leave to withdraw, subject to counsel informing Ramos about the procedures for seeking rehearing and petitioning for a writ of certiorari.
