

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 12-1706

Kyle Greene

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

Candee S. Gassman; Elizabeth W. Cummins; Eric S. Oelrich; Kristi Stanislawski;
Judge Steven E. Drange; Judge Vicki E. Landwehr; Meeker County, Minnesota;
Stearns County, Minnesota; Eric Boucher

Defendants - Appellees

Appeal from United States District Court
for the District of Minnesota - Minneapolis

Submitted: October 5, 2012
Filed: October 23, 2012
[Unpublished]

Before WOLLMAN, MELLOY, and SHEPHERD, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

In this civil rights action against multiple defendants, Kyle Greene appeals after the district court¹ granted motions to dismiss and for summary judgment prior to discovery, and denied Greene's motions to amend his complaint.

Upon careful review of the record and the parties' arguments on appeal, we agree with the district court's disposition of the case. See, e.g., Schoelch v. Mitchell, 625 F.3d 1041, 1045 (8th Cir. 2010) (de novo review of grant of summary judgment); Ballard v. Heineman, 548 F.3d 1132, 1136 (8th Cir. 2008) (discovery does not need to be completed before court grants summary judgment); In re NVE Corp. Sec. Litig., 527 F.3d 749, 752 (8th Cir. 2008) (de novo review of denial of motion to amend complaint based on futility); Janis v. Biesheuvel, 428 F.3d 795, 800 (8th Cir. 2005) (purpose of qualified immunity is to avoid subjecting government officials to costs of trial or burdens of broad-reaching discovery; unless plaintiff's allegations state claim for violation of clearly established law, defendant seeking qualified immunity is entitled to dismissal before commencement of discovery).

Accordingly, we affirm. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.

¹The Honorable Patrick J. Schiltz, United States District Judge for the District of Minnesota, adopting the report and recommendations of the Honorable Tony N. Leung, United States Magistrate Judge for the District of Minnesota.