## United States Court of Appeals

For the Eighth Circuit

No. 12-3177	

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

**Enrique Ramirez** 

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri - Kansas City

\_\_\_\_

Submitted: May 2, 2013 Filed: May 8, 2013 [Unpublished]

\_\_\_\_\_

Before MURPHY, SMITH, and COLLOTON, Circuit Judges.

\_\_\_\_\_

PER CURIAM.

Under the terms of a written plea agreement that contained an appeal waiver, Enrique Ramirez pleaded guilty to conspiring to distribute methamphetamine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(B), and 846. The district court<sup>1</sup> imposed a sentence of 180 months in prison and 5 years of supervised release. On appeal, Ramirez's counsel has moved to withdraw and submitted a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), questioning the reasonableness of the sentence.

We conclude that the appeal waiver should be enforced as to all issues in this appeal. See United States v. Jennings, 662 F.3d 988, 990 (8th Cir. 2011) (court should enforce appeal waiver if both waiver and plea agreement were entered into knowingly and voluntarily, appeal is within waiver's scope, and no miscarriage of justice would result); see also United States v. Estrada-Bahena, 201 F.3d 1070, 1071 (8th Cir. 2000) (per curiam) (enforcing appeal waiver in Anders case).

Having independently reviewed the record under <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we have found no nonfrivolous issue not covered by the waiver. Accordingly, we dismiss this appeal and grant counsel's motion to withdraw, subject to counsel informing Ramirez about procedures for seeking rehearing or filing a petition for certiorari.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Greg Kays, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.