

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 12-3992

Nadia Jackson

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

Metropolitan Council HRA Management Association

Defendant - Appellee

Appeal from United States District Court
for the District of Minnesota - Minneapolis

Submitted: May 30, 2013
Filed: June 6, 2013
[Unpublished]

Before LOKEN, MELLOY, and BENTON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

In 2010, Nadia Jackson brought this housing-discrimination complaint alleging that her housing assistance was terminated without justification, and that appellee was not complying with federal regulations. She claimed violations of her constitutional

rights, state law, and federal law. The district court¹ granted appellee's motion for summary judgment, concluding that Jackson's complaint raised matters that were reviewable only in the state court of appeals, or were barred by res judicata based on prior state-court litigation in Minnesota. This appeal followed. Jackson also moves to file an amended brief, and to remand for lack of jurisdiction.

After careful de novo review of the record, see Johnson v. Blaukat, 453 F.3d 1108, 1112 (8th Cir. 2006) (standard of review), we conclude--for the reasons explained in the district court's opinion--that the complaint raises claims as to which judicial review is available only in the state court of appeals through a writ of certiorari, and that the remainder of the complaint is barred by res judicata, see David v. Tanksley, 218 F.3d 928, 930 (8th Cir. 2000) (de novo review of district court's interpretation of state law); Brown-Wilbert, Inc. v. Copeland Buhl & Co., 732 N.W.2d 209, 220 (Minn. 2007) (elements of res judicata); Dietz v. Dodge Cnty., 487 N.W.2d 237, 239 (Minn. 1992) (judicial review of quasi-judicial decisions is through writ of certiorari to Minnesota Court of Appeals). We also reject Jackson's argument that the district court lacked jurisdiction to adjudicate her complaint, see 42 U.S.C. § 3613(a)(1) (party may commence civil action in United States district court alleging discriminatory housing practice), and we conclude that her other arguments on appeal are without merit. Jackson's allegations of professional misconduct by appellee's attorney are totally without merit.

Accordingly, we deny her pending motions, and we affirm. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.

¹The Honorable John R. Tunheim, United States District Judge for the District of Minnesota, adopting the report and recommendations of the Honorable Jeanne J. Graham, United States Magistrate Judge for the District of Minnesota.