## United States Court of Appeals

For the Eighth Circuit

No. 13-3008

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Matthew J. Siefkas

Defendant - Appellant

\_\_\_\_\_

Appeal from United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri - Kansas City

Submitted: June 9, 2014 Filed: June 25, 2014 [Unpublished]

Before BYE, COLLOTON, and KELLY, Circuit Judges.

\_\_\_\_\_

PER CURIAM.

Matthew Siefkas appeals his sentence of 110 months following his guilty plea to one count of being a felon in possession of a firearm in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1). Siefkas, a felon, admitted to possessing and discharging a firearm while

Appellate Case: 13-3008 Page: 1 Date Filed: 06/25/2014 Entry ID: 4168631<sub>Dockets.Justia.com</sub>

intoxicated.<sup>1</sup> He challenges a four-level enhancement the district court<sup>2</sup> applied under United States Sentencing Guidelines Manual (U.S.S.G.) § 2K2.1(b)(6)(B) on the grounds Siefkas possessed the firearm while committing "another felony offense," namely, a violation of Mo. Rev. Stat. § 571.030.1(5), which prohibits "knowingly... ha[ving] a firearm . . . readily capable of lethal use on his . . . person, while he . . . is intoxicated."

We review for plain error only because this issue was not preserved for appeal in the district court. <u>United States v. Espinoza Bravo</u>, 624 F.3d 921, 924 (8th Cir. 2010). The district court did not plainly err. Siefkas's challenge – brought on the grounds the four-level enhancement impermissibly double counted his offense conduct of possessing the firearm – is foreclosed by circuit precedent. <u>See United States v. Jackson</u>, 633 F.3d 703, 706 (8th Cir. 2011) (rejecting a double-counting challenge to a district court's application of U.S.S.G. § 2K2.1(b)(6)(B) where a state offense required proof of a separate element not necessary to prove the underlying felon-in-possession offense); <u>see also United States v. Long</u>, \_\_ F. App'x \_\_, 2014 WL 1661292 at \*2 (8th Cir. Apr. 28, 2014) (applying <u>Jackson</u> to a violation of Mo. Rev. Stat. § 571.030.1(5) to reject a double-counting challenge to a four-level enhancement under § 2K2.1(b)(6)(B)).

We affirm.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The presentence report (PSR) stated Siefkas, at the time he possessed and discharged a firearm, "appeared to be highly intoxicated as he was almost falling over as he was walking." PSR at ¶ 6. Siefkas did not object to this statement in the PSR.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The Honorable Gary A. Fenner, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.