

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 14-1988

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Roberto V. Rivera

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court
for the District of South Dakota - Sioux Falls

Submitted: September 17, 2014

Filed: September 23, 2014

[Unpublished]

Before WOLLMAN, BYE, and SMITH, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Roberto Rivera directly appeals the statutory-maximum sentence that the district court¹ imposed upon revoking his probation. For reversal, he argues that the sentence is substantively unreasonable.

Upon careful review, we conclude that the sentence is not unreasonable, because the court properly considered the Chapter 7 policy statements and appropriate 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors, and gave supporting reasons for its decision. See United States v. Larison, 432 F.3d 921, 924 (8th Cir. 2006) (affirming statutory-maximum revocation sentence where district court justified decision by giving supporting reasons); United States v. Tyson, 413 F.3d 824, 825 (8th Cir. 2005) (per curiam) (revocation sentences are reviewed for unreasonableness in accordance with United States v. Booker, 543 U.S. 220 (2005)). Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of the district court. We also grant counsel's motion for leave to withdraw.

¹The Honorable Karen E. Schreier, United States District Judge for the District of South Dakota.