

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 14-2152

Nhut Le; Chai M. Le

Plaintiffs - Appellants

United States of America

Plaintiff

v.

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.; Schiller & Adam, P.A.; James J. Pauly; Divine N. Difongwa

Defendants - Appellees

Sidney Jintara Yang; Wells Fargo & Company

Defendants

Rebecca Schiller; Sarah J.B. Adam; Jeffrey D. Klobucar

Defendants - Appellees

Appeal from United States District Court
for the District of Minnesota - Minneapolis

Submitted: March 6, 2015

Filed: March 13, 2015

[Unpublished]

Before MURPHY, BOWMAN, and SHEPHERD, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Nhut Le and Chai M. Le appeal from the order of the District Court¹ dismissing their complaint. Following careful de novo review, we agree with the District Court that the Les' complaint—which was devoid of factual allegations and contained only conclusory legal theories about improprieties surrounding the foreclosure sale of their home and the underlying loan-related documents—failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6); see also Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009) (“To survive a motion to dismiss, a complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to ‘state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face.’” (citation to quoted case omitted)); Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007); Topchian v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., 760 F.3d 843, 848 (8th Cir. 2014) (standard of review). We have considered the Les' other arguments for reversal and find them to be without merit. We therefore affirm the judgment of the District Court.

¹The Honorable Susan Richard Nelson, United States District Judge for the District of Minnesota, adopting the report and recommendations of the Honorable Jeffrey J. Keyes, United States Magistrate Judge for the District of Minnesota.