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Before SMITH, GRUENDER, and BENTON, Circuit Judges.

## PER CURIAM.

Clarence T. Jones appeals the district court's ${ }^{1}$ dismissal of his action without prejudice for lack of personal jurisdiction over defendant. After de novo review, see

[^0]Dever v. Hentzen Coatings, Inc., 380 F.3d 1070, 1072 (8th Cir. 2004), this court affirms. The record shows that defendant did not have sufficient minimum contacts with Missouri to satisfy due process, as required for specific jurisdiction, see Myers v. Casino Queen, Inc., 689 F.3d 904, 911 (8th Cir. 2012) (listing appropriate factors to consider); Bell Paper Box, Inc. v. Trans W. Polymers, Inc., 53 F.3d 920, 922-23 (8th Cir. 1995) (merely entering into contract with forum resident does not provide requisite contacts between nonresident defendant and forum state; use of interstate facilities, such as telephones or mail, cannot alone provide minimum contacts required by due process); and defendant did not have continuous and systematic relations with Missouri, as required for general jurisdiction, see Daimler AG v. Bauman, 134 S. Ct. $746,760-61 \& n .19$ (2014) (general jurisdiction inquiry is whether corporation's affiliations with forum state are so continuous and systematic as to render it essentially at home in forum state; place of incorporation and principal place of business are paradigm bases for general jurisdiction).

The judgment is affirmed. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Honorable Dean Whipple, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.

