## United States Court of Appeals

For the Eighth Circuit

	No.	14-3125	

Louis Jonathan Cutwright

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

Sean Crawford; Sheryl Dahm; Tracy Dietsch; Cindy Wolmutt; William Sperfslage

Defendants - Appellees

Appeal from United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa - Des Moines

\_\_\_\_\_

Submitted: March 16, 2015 Filed: March 17, 2015 [Unpublished]

\_\_\_\_\_

Before BYE, COLLOTON, and SHEPHERD, Circuit Judges.

\_\_\_\_\_

PER CURIAM.

Louis Cutwright appeals after the district court<sup>1</sup> dismissed his pro se 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint preservice under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, and denied his post-judgment

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable John A. Jarvey, Chief Judge, United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa.

motion for reconsideration. Upon careful review, we find no basis for reversal. <u>See Cooper v. Schriro</u>, 189 F.3d 781, 783 (8th Cir. 1999) (per curiam) (de novo review of § 1915A dismissal); <u>see also Ackerland v. United States</u>, 633 F.3d 698, 701 (8th Cir. 2011) (appellate court typically construes self-styled motion for reconsideration as Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) motion to alter or amend judgment, or as Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) motion for relief from judgment); <u>Miller v. Baker Implement Co.</u>, 439 F.3d 407, 414 (8th Cir. 2006) (appellate court reviews denial of motions under Rule 59(e) or 60(b) for abuse of discretion).

Accordingly, we affirm.	See 8th Cir. R. 47B.