

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 14-3252

Maurice D. Roberts

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

Edward Ruppel; Clifton Bowen; David Dormire; Matt Strum; David Freeman

Defendants - Appellees

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Western District of Missouri - Jefferson City

Submitted: January 6, 2016

Filed: January 11, 2016

[Unpublished]

Before SMITH, BYE, and SHEPHERD, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Missouri inmate Maurice Roberts appeals after the district court¹ entered final judgment in his pro se 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action. We conclude that, for the reasons

¹The Honorable Nanette K. Laughrey, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.

given by the district court: (1) dismissal was proper as to the four defendants named in Roberts's original complaint, see Rochling v. Dep't of Veterans Affairs, 725 F.3d 927, 930 (8th Cir. 2013) (standard of review for Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) dismissal); Moore v. Sims, 200 F.3d 1170, 1171 (8th Cir. 2000) (per curiam) (standard of review for 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) dismissal); and (2) summary judgment as to added defendant David Freeman was proper, see Jenkins v. Cty. of Hennepin, Minn., 557 F.3d 628, 631 (8th Cir. 2009) (de novo review of summary judgment grant). We further conclude there is no basis for reversal in the court's rulings on Roberts's numerous motions throughout the proceedings regarding discovery, see Metro. Prop. & Cas. Ins. Co. v. Calvin, 802 F.3d 933, 941 (8th Cir. 2015); the appointment of counsel, see Phillips v. Jasper Cnty. Jail, 437 F.3d 791, 794 (8th Cir. 2006); injunctive relief, see Devose v. Herrington, 42 F.3d 470, 471 (8th Cir. 1994) (per curiam); or consolidation with other cases, see U.S. E.P.A. v. City of Green Forest, Ark., 921 F.2d 1394, 1402 (8th Cir. 1990).

Accordingly, we affirm. See 8th Cir. R. 47B.
