United States Court of Appeals

	For the Eighth Circuit	
_	No. 14-3499	
_	United States of America	
	Plaintiff - Appellee	
	V.	
	Rahman Muhammed	
	Defendant - Appellant	
	eal from United States District Court Vestern District of Missouri - Kansas	City
	Submitted: April 6, 2015 Filed: April 17, 2015 [Unpublished]	
Before WOLLMAN, MU	RPHY, and GRUENDER, Circuit Ju	dges.
PER CURIAM.		
	ed directly appeals the sentence the divo counts of being a felon in possess	-

¹The Honorable Howard F. Sachs, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.

violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2). His counsel has filed a brief under *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), generally questioning the district court's sentencing decision, and seeking permission to withdraw. Muhammed has filed a supplemental brief raising claims of ineffective assistance of counsel.

Upon careful review, we conclude that the district court's sentencing decision was proper. *See United States v. Feemster*, 572 F.3d 455, 460-61, 464 (8th Cir. 2009) (en banc) (describing appellate review of sentencing decisions). Additionally, we decline to consider Muhammed's ineffective-assistance claims on direct appeal. *See United States v. Looking Cloud*, 419 F.3d 781, 788-89 (8th Cir. 2005) (appellate court generally will not consider ineffective-assistance claims on direct appeal).

Finally, having independently reviewed the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we find no nonfrivolous issues. Accordingly, we affirm, and we grant counsel leave to withdraw, subject to counsel informing Muhammed about procedures for seeking rehearing or filing a petition for certiorari.

-2-