## United States Court of Appeals

	For the Eighth Circuit	
_	No. 14-3661	
_	Lin Gao	
	Plaintiff - Appellant	
	V.	
	YMCA of Greater St. Louis	
	Defendant - Appellee	
	eal from United States District Court Eastern District of Missouri - St. Louis	
	Submitted: May 15, 2015 Filed: May 21, 2015 [Unpublished]	
Before SHEPHERD, BYI	E, and KELLY, Circuit Judges.	
PER CURIAM.		
	e district court's <sup>1</sup> Federal Rule of Civil Procedure at, in which she asserted discrimination claims ag	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable E. Richard Webber, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Missouri.

former employer. Upon careful de novo review, we conclude that the dismissal was proper. See Topchian v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., 760 F.3d 843, 848-49 (8th Cir. 2014) (district court's grant of motion to dismiss is reviewed de novo; to survive motion to dismiss, pro se complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to state claim to relief that is plausible on its face); see also EEOC v. CRST Van Expedited, Inc., 774 F.3d 1169, 1181 (8th Cir. 2014) (plaintiff asserting Title VII claim based on alleged harassment must show, among other elements, that there was causal nexus between harassment and her membership in protected group).

Accordingly, we affirm. <u>See</u> 8th Cir. R. 47B. We also deny Gao's renewed motion for appointed counsel.

-2-