United States Court of Appeals

For the Eighth Circuit

No. 14-3661

Lin Gao

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

YMCA of Greater St. Louis

Defendant - Appellee

Appeal from United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri - St. Louis

Submitted: May 15, 2015 Filed: May 21, 2015 [Unpublished]

Before SHEPHERD, BYE, and KELLY, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Lin Gao appeals the district court's¹ Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) dismissal of her complaint, in which she asserted discrimination claims against her

Appellate Case: 14-3661 Page: 1 Date Filed: 05/21/2015 Entry ID: 4277325_{Dockets.Justia.com}

¹The Honorable E. Richard Webber, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Missouri.

former employer. Upon careful de novo review, we conclude that the dismissal was proper. See Topchian v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., 760 F.3d 843, 848-49 (8th Cir. 2014) (district court's grant of motion to dismiss is reviewed de novo; to survive motion to dismiss, pro se complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to state claim to relief that is plausible on its face); see also EEOC v. CRST Van Expedited, Inc., 774 F.3d 1169, 1181 (8th Cir. 2014) (plaintiff asserting Title VII claim based on alleged harassment must show, among other elements, that there was causal nexus between harassment and her membership in protected group).

Accordingly, we affirm. <u>See</u> 8th Cir. R. 47B. We also deny Gao's renewed motion for appointed counsel.