## United States Court of Appeals For the Eighth Circuit

No. 15-3247

Ronald R. Ernst

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

Jon Hinchliff; Dwight Close; Jeremy Britzius; Jack Rusinoff; Ed Hanson; Barbara L. Neilson, ADL; Patricia Moen; Michelle Murphy; Scot Nadeau; Minneapolis Police Dept.; MN Department of Corrections; Bloomington, MN Police Department; State of Minnesota; Columbia Heights Police Department

Defendants - Appellees

Appeal from United States District Court for the District of Minnesota - Minneapolis

> Submitted: May 26, 2016 Filed: June 13, 2016 [Unpublished]

Before COLLOTON, GRUENDER, and KELLY, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Ronald Ernst appeals the district court's<sup>1</sup> dismissal of his complaint asserting claims under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985, and asserting state-law defamation claims, all related to the disclosure--pursuant to Minnesota law--of information about him.

We conclude that the dismissal was proper. <u>See Martin v. Iowa</u>, 752 F.3d 725, 727 (8th Cir. 2014) (Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) dismissal is reviewed de novo). After careful review, we conclude that Ernst failed to state a claim for any constitutional violation, <u>see Reeve v. Oliver</u>, 41 F.3d 381, 383 (8th Cir. 1994) (per curiam) (to state § 1983 claim, plaintiff must allege that defendants' conduct caused constitutional violation); <u>see also Novotny v. Tripp Cty., S.D.</u>, 664 F.3d 1173, 1180 (8th Cir. 2011) (where plaintiff failed to show any underlying constitutional violation, his § 1985 conspiracy claims also failed), and that his state-law defamation claims were either time-barred, <u>see Settle v. Fluker</u>, 978 F.2d 1063, 1064 (8th Cir. 1992) (federal courts apply state statutes of limitation to state-law claims) (per curiam); <u>see also Minn. Stat.</u> § 541.07(1) (2-year statute of limitation for defamation actions), or deficiently pled, <u>see Vassallo v. Majeski</u>, 842 N.W.2d 456, 462, 465 (Minn. 2014) (public official performing duty which calls for exercise of discretion is not liable unless he is guilty of willful or malicious wrong). Accordingly, we affirm. <u>See</u> 8th Cir. R. 47B.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Susan Richard Nelson, United States District Judge for the District of Minnesota, adopting the report and recommendations of the Honorable Tony N. Leung, United States Magistrate Judge for the District of Minnesota.