## United States Court of Appeals

For the Eighth Circuit

No. 15-3500

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Joshua Thomas

Defendant - Appellant

\_\_\_\_\_

Appeal from United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas - Fayetteville

Submitted: March 23, 2016 Filed: March 28, 2016 [Unpublished]

\_\_\_\_\_

Before WOLLMAN, BOWMAN, and MURPHY, Circuit Judges.

\_\_\_\_\_

PER CURIAM.

Joshua Thomas directly appeals the sentence imposed by the district court<sup>1</sup> after he pleaded guilty to one count of possessing child pornography. His counsel has

Appellate Case: 15-3500 Page: 1 Date Filed: 03/28/2016 Entry ID: 4382168 Dockets. Justia.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Timothy L. Brooks, United States District Judge for the Western District of Arkansas.

moved to withdraw, and has filed a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), arguing that the sentence was unreasonable. We conclude that the below-Guidelines sentence was not substantively unreasonable. <u>See United States v. Salazar-Aleman</u>, 741 F.3d 878, 881 (8th Cir. 2013) (under substantive review, district court abuses its discretion if it fails to consider relevant factor, gives significant weight to improper or irrelevant factor, or commits clear error of judgment in weighing factors); <u>United States v. Lazarski</u>, 560 F.3d 731, 733 (8th Cir. 2009) (when downward variance is granted, it is "nearly inconceivable" that court abuses discretion in not varying downward further). We have reviewed the record independently under <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and we find no nonfrivolous issues for appeal.

Accordingly, we affirm the judgment, and we grant counsel's motion to withdraw.

-2-