## United States Court of Appeals

I	for the Eighth Circuit
	No. 16-1590
Un	ited States of America
	Plaintiff - Appellee
	v.
	Christopher Sutphin
	Defendant - Appellant
	m United States District Court District of Arkansas - Fayetteville
	nitted: September 7, 2016 d: September 16, 2016 [Unpublished]
Before WOLLMAN, ARNOLD	, and MURPHY, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM.	
1 1	etly appeals after he pleaded guilty to being a felon in and the district court <sup>1</sup> sentenced him to an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Timothy L. Brooks, United States District Judge for the Western District of Arkansas.

above-Guidelines-range prison term of 96 months. His counsel has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), asserting that the court imposed a substantively unreasonable sentence.

Having reviewed the district court's sentencing decision, we conclude that no abuse of discretion occurred and that Sutphin's 96-month prison term is not substantively unreasonable. See United States v. Feemster, 572 F.3d 455, 461-62 (8th Cir. 2009) (en banc) (describing appellate review of sentencing decisions); see also United States v. Franik, 687 F.3d 988, 991 (8th Cir. 2012) (factors that have already been taken into account in calculating advisory Guidelines range can nevertheless form basis of variance; concluding that no abuse of discretion occurred where district court found Guidelines would not accomplish objectives of 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) without upward variance); United States v. Mangum, 625 F.3d 466, 469-70 (8th Cir. 2010) (upward variance is reasonable where court makes individualized assessment of § 3553(a) factors based on facts presented, and considers defendant's proffered information). Having independently reviewed the record under Penson v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we have found no nonfrivolous issues for appeal.

The judgment is affirmed, and counsel's motion to withdraw is gr	anted
--	-------

\_\_\_\_\_